



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012_BJAST_2977
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRIENTS AND PHYTO-CHEMICAL ASSAY OF AFRICAN STAR APPLE KERNEL (<i>Chrysophyllum africanum</i>) AS POTENTIAL FEEDSTUFF IN FISH AND LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Line 11; RSA and FSA should be RASAK and FASAK respectively and should be defined first before abbreviations. 2. In methods, the preparation of the samples should be well defined. Are the samples not pulverized before used as feedstuffs?. Tests such as moisture content, ash content etc. Should be well stated indicating actual temp. of test in each case. You may test for Lead,Pb, because research has shown that African star apple seeds contain Lead which is poisonous to livestock. 3.The references should be uniformly written to the style of the journal. Some Ref. have no page numbers such as in Lines 146,161,163 and 179.	1. It was clearly defined, see lines 10 and 11 of the text. 2. Lines 55 – 59 were detailed enough to answer the questions observed. The statement that samples were passed through blender informed pulverization, however using AOAC methods for analyses should have informed reader(s) that water content as a nutrient was determined. 3. Most references involving text books are cross references, hence, may not have page numbers . 4. Thanks
Minor REVISION comments	Minor revision is needed before publication.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper has some credit as an academic research article with little commercial viability.	
---	---	--